

https://reason.com/wp-content/uploads/2019/07/Hilton-v-Prause-supplemental-brief-9-13-19.pdf

rhodes 1 of 6 Options

statements in his presentations to religious organizations and to this Court by altering the sentence from our paper. See Ley Declaration, attached hereto as Exhibit 1(J).

I have stated dozens of times in media and in my science that it is illegal for minors to view pornography and it should be discouraged. See, e.g., Prause, N. (2019). Porn is for Masturbation. Archives of Sexual Behavior, 1-7, attached here to as Exhibit 1(K). In this paper, I write "VSS viewing by youth is illegal in the USA and most of the world, so it would never be appropriate to encourage youth to view VSS."


15. When I became aware of Hilton's communications with journalist Chad Sokol, I learned that Hilton was working with Gary Wilson. Although Hilton claims he does not follow me on social media, it appears that Hilton obtained the photographs that he sent to Sokol from Gary Wilson. A reverse Google image search shows the exact images provided in this lawsuit were provided by Gary Wilson from his website yourbrainonporn.com. In his statement, Hilton admits that he obtained my old tweets from Wilson. Wilson also posts extensive false claims that I am in pornography. For example, Wilson falsely claims, that I write for a pornographic website hosted by Mike South, whom I actually do not know. See South Email Correspondence, attached hereto as Exhibit 1(L).

16. By working with Wilson and claiming that I am involved in the pornography industry, Hilton knew or should have known that I have been forced to file multiple complaints against Gary Wilson for stalking, harassment, computer intrusion, and criminal threats since 2013. These reports to law enforcement were publicly available, as Gary Wilson posted them on his website www.yourbrainonporn.com, to which Hilton contributes. Although Wilson claims that these reports do not exist and were never filed, I have obtained the reports directly from the FBI. See Freedom of Information/Privacy Act Response for Records of Reports against Gary Wilson and Alexander Rhodes, attached hereto as Exhibit 1(M). Hilton and Wilson also have written and presented together in their anti-pornography activism. Hilton's collaboration with Wilson, who has physically stalked, cyber stalked and harassed me in the past, caused me to fear that Hilton also intended to harass me further and was supporting Gary Wilson's escalating behaviors.

16. By working with Wilson and claiming that I am involved in the pornography industry, Hilton knew or should have known that I have been forced to file multiple complaints against Gary Wilson for stalking, harassment, computer intrusion, and criminal threats since 2013. These reports to law enforcement were publicly available, as Gary Wilson posted them on his website www.yourbrainonporn.com, to which Hilton contributes. Although Wilson claims that these reports do not exist and were never filed, I have obtained the reports directly from the FBI. See Freedom of Information/Privacy Act Response for Records of Reports against Gary Wilson and Alexander Rhodes, attached hereto as Exhibit 1(M). Hilton and Wilson also have written and presented together in their anti-pornography activism. Hilton's collaboration with Wilson, who has physically stalked, cyber stalked and harassed me in the past, caused me to fear that Hilton also intended to harass me further and was supporting Gary Wilson's escalating behaviors.

Freedom of Information/Privacy Act records against Stalkers Gary Wilson and Alexander Rhodes

FOIPA records directly from the FBI office with the reports of stalking, computer intrusion, and criminal threats against Gary Wilson and Alexander Rhodes.



The FBI website clearly states Stalker Gary Wilson and Alexander Rhodes would never have been given these records, which they lied do not exist.



Real YourBrainOnPorn 5 hours ago

@Jessica The MeTooSTEM community and others actually have evaluated most of these claims already.

These were publicly available at the time Watson chose to ignore them:

<https://reason.com/wp-content/uploads/2019/07/Hilton-v-Prause-supplemental-brief-9-13-19.pdf>

<https://reason.com/wp-content/uploads/2019/07/Hilton-v-Prause-motion-to-dismiss-7-26-19.pdf>

9 scientists and hundreds of pages of documentation, we fully expect these to be dismissed, just like the 400+ pages fraudulent complaints against my license cleared in their entirety just earlier this year. Just like the fraudulent WIPO complaint they filed (I won). Just like the ~12 complaints to UCLA they filed (I won).

There is a history of harassment 7 years long. She glanced at a news article, made consequential false judgements, and disseminated them widely.

Show less

👍 🎓 REPLY

Read more

👍 9 🗨️ REPLY

Jessica 1 day ago
Correction: Rebecca is not a scientist, just a science enthusiast. I am saying that I think criticism is valid though, and I graduated college and have been working in a lab studying the morphologies and evolutionary history of certain tribes of vegetables since before my graduation.

👍 5 🗨️ REPLY

Truth Shall Set You Free 1 day ago
@Jessica The MeTooSTEM community and others actually have evaluated most of these claims already. These were publicly available at the time Watson chose to ignore them.
<https://reason.com/wp-content/uploads/2019/07/Hilton-v-Prause-supplemental-brief-9-13-19.pdf>
<https://reason.com/wp-content/uploads/2019/07/Hilton-v-Prause-motion-to-dismiss-7-26-19.pdf>
 9 scientists and hundreds of pages of documentation, we fully expect these to be dismissed, just like the 400+ pages fraudulent complaints against my license cleared in their entirety just earlier this year. Just like the fraudulent WIPO complaint they filed (I won). Just like the ~12 complaints to UCLA they filed (I won). There is a history of harassment 7 years long. She glanced at a news article, made consequential false judgements, and disseminated them widely.
 Show less

👍 2 🗨️ REPLY

M G O 1 day ago
Has she responded you?

👍 1 🗨️ REPLY

Trevin Taylor 1 day ago
Nicole Prause I don't understand the "It is attacking because it was unprovoked." Science reviews science. Peer review is not an attack. Only had you asked her to review the situation would it not be an attack? That's just not the way science works. Saying "I didn't ask to be discussed, therefore attack" seems an oversimplification to the point of dishonesty. Surely that can't be what you meant, right?

👍 4 🗨️ REPLY

Trevin Taylor 1 day ago
Real YourBrainOnPorn history of harassment in others doesn't mean this person is harassing you. Winning a bunch of previous cases doesn't mean anything for future cases. With this fallacious reasoning I doubt your legal skill.

👍 1 🗨️ REPLY

https://twitter.com/NicoleRPrause/status/1206627203036794880

Search Twitter



Liberos
@NicoleRPrause

Interested in learning about what is actually happening in the lawsuits?
Our responses are public, including testimonies of 9 scientists describing death threats and harassment.

reason.com/wp-content/upl...

reason.com/wp-content/upl...

12:28 PM · Dec 16, 2019 · Twitter Web App

View replies the Tweet author has hidden

60 Retweets 78 Likes



Art Keller @ArtKeller · Dec 16, 2019

Replying to @NicoleRPrause

Sad thing, Hilton probably thinks he's the "good guy" by defaming and slandering you so he can cast doubt on science he disagrees with. What ever happened to "Thou shalt not bear false witness" in his moral lexicon, I wonder?

👍 1



👍 2



Liberos @NicoleRPrause · Dec 16, 2019

No. Comment. 😊

👍



👍 1



Rawtalk:Melissa Hill @MelHill_RAWTALK · Dec 16, 2019

Replying to @NicoleRPrause

I thought this lawsuit had already been heard or dismissed, a couple of months ago?

👍



👍

