

Summary of information about Alfred Kinsey

1. Judith Reisman has criticized the Kinsey Institute. Dr. Reisman's exposure of the Kinsey Institute has prompted attacks on her by Institute. In reality, nothing Dr. Reisman has said about the Kinsey Institute has been discredited, but rather has been corroborated by Kinsey biographer James Jones and others.
2. In 1990 Dr. Judith Reisman and Edward Eichel, with editors Dr. John Court and Dr. J. Gordon Muir published *Kinsey, Sex and Fraud*. In this book, Dr. Reisman exposed Kinsey's collaboration with pedophiles in publishing their crime scene evidence as evidence of children's sexuality. She has followed up with several other books documenting this abuse, including *Kinsey, Crimes and Consequences*, *Sexual Sabotage*, *Stolen Honor/Stolen Innocence*, and other works.
3. In *Sexual Sabotage* and *Stolen Honor* she documents a recorded phone conversation with J. Gordon Muir and Paul Gebhard (Kinsey co-worker, co-author, and president of the Kinsey Institute after Kinsey's death). In the conversation, when asked if pedophiles normally use stopwatches to time the sexual responses of their victims, Gebhard replied, "Ah, they do if we tell them we're interested in it!"
4. In 1998 Dr. James Jones published the 'definitive' biography of Alfred Kinsey. As a graduate of Indiana University who had done a post doctoral fellowship at Harvard. He was considered part of the Kinsey family and was given access to Kinsey documents few other biographer have been able to access. Paul Gebhard, Kinsey co-author of the 1953 Female book and later president of the Kinsey Institute endorsed his book, giving it the official approval of the Kinsey Institute. He said it was 'meticulously accurate.'
5. In his book Dr. Jones corroborates many of Dr. Reisman's accusations. He documents Kinsey's illegal and unethical collaboration with and encouragement of pedophiles, his pressuring his co-workers and their wives to participate in sexual orgies at work with himself and each other, and Kinsey's own sexual psychopathy in sticking a pipe-cleaner up his urethra and cutting his urethra, circumcising himself in a bathtub without anesthesia, and tying a rope around his testicles, all to achieve sexual stimulation. Thus, Kinsey was not the non-biased researcher he and the Kinsey Institute present himself to be, but motivated in his 'work' by his own personal issues.
6. In James Jones book about Kinsey, he had access to files few others have been able to review, including the writings describing the pedophiles who molested children, recorded their sexual responses, and reported to Kinsey, who encouraged them. Dr. Jones calls one of these pedophiles "Mr. X", protecting his name. Later he was identified as Rex King. Another alias used for him is 'Mr. Green.'
7. Of "Mr. X" (Rex King) Wardell Pomeroy, a Kinsey co-author and associate said, "This man had homosexual relations with 600 preadolescent males, heterosexual relations with 200 preadolescent females, intercourse with countless adults of both sexes, with animals of many species." Of the first visit by Kinsey and Pomeroy to meet Rex King, Pomeroy said, "We traveled from Indiana to the Southwest to get this single extraordinary history, and felt that it had been worth

every mile...At the time we saw him, this man was sixty-three years old, quiet, soft-spoken, self-effacing – a rather unobtrusive fellow. It took seventeen hours to get his history, which was the basis for a fair part of Chapter 5 in the Male volume, concerning child sexuality. Because of these elaborate records, we were able to get data on the behavior of many children, as well as of our subject.” Note that Pomeroy calls this record of a crime scene recorded by a child molester “data,” and he calls the molester “our subject.” (Page 122, Pomeroy book about Kinsey).

8. In correspondence with Rex King (Mr. X) Kinsey encouraged him and validated his crimes as science. Examples of his correspondence:
9. “I congratulate you on the research spirit which has lead you to collect data over these many years...There are difficulties enough in this undertaking to make it highly desirable for all of us who are at work to keep in touch. I hope we can keep in touch with you.” (Jones bio page 508)
10. Jones reports, **“Much to Kinsey’s delight, the materials arrived by return mail, the first of many shipments over the next several years. ‘Your instant willingness to cooperate, and your comprehension of the problem involved in these studies, make me all the more anxious to meet you,’** replied Kinsey. Entreating his quarry to come to Bloomington, he declared, ‘Mrs. Kinsey and I should be glad to entertain you in our home.’ When his invitation failed to produce a houseguest, Kinsey did not lose heart. Instead, he concentrated on prying loose additional data, reassuring Mr. X again and again that his experiences were scientifically valuable and had to be preserved for posterity: **‘You must not, under any condition, destroy your materials,’** pleaded Kinsey in 1944. **‘It is unique in its value. There is nothing else quite like it and nothing that has been published in the scientific literature. Everything that you accumulated must find its way into scientific channels.’**(Jones bio pg 508).
11. After the meeting Pomeroy described where he and Kinsey met King, Kinsey continued to encourage him to send new descriptions of his molestation of children:
12. **“I rejoice in everything you send, for I am then assured that that much more of your material is saved for scientific publication.”**
13. Kinsey knew he was protecting a criminal. Jones writes: “Owing to the criminal nature of many of the sexual acts Mr. X had committed and had chronicled with such care, Kinsey handled these materials with even greater caution than usual. Keeping the clerical staff at arm’s length, he personally opened the packages form Mr. X and entrusted the copying to Clara (his wife) alone. He wrote to Rex King after a package with ‘data’ arrived to assure him he was protecting the criminal from discovery:
14. **“It arrived while I was in New York, and my wife put it in a hidden place in order to protect it...You may be interested to know that my wife is very much interested in the research and has cooperated to the absolute limit.”** Note that he calls this crime scene evidence “research.”
15. Kinsey’s support of King extended to a financial offer. From Jones book: “In March 1945, Kinsey offered to pay Mr. X’s salary if he would take a leave from government (he had a government job) and pull together his materials. When

Mr. X declined, Kinsey peppered him with additional questions. Confessing that his own data on preadolescent orgasms were ‘definitely scant,’ Kinsey wrote to Mr. X in March 1945, ‘Certainly you have very much more material than we have in our records.’

16. Kinsey asked King for specific information: “Specifically, Kinsey asked for information about the average age at which orgasm occurred in preadolescent boys, their capacity for multiple orgasms, and the earliest age at which orgasm have been observed in boys. So vast were Mr. X’s records that it took months for him to search his files and pull this material together. Kinsey was delighted by the results. **“This is one of the most valuable things we have ever gotten, and I want to thank you most abundantly for the time you put into it and for your willingness to cooperate,”** declared Kinsey. **“We have carefully gone through all of the published literature, and there is nothing on this preadolescent story in the published histories,’** he continued. **We have several hundred histories who have given record of preadolescent climaxes but your material fortifies this so abundantly that it will make a very definite contribution. Anyone who is scientifically trained must comprehend how valuable the data are.”** He continues to call this crime scene evidence “data” and “science,” and to encourage and praise him for his “contribution.” (pg 510)
17. After completing the manuscript for the Male book, Kinsey visited Rex King in 1946 (Mr X) again and actually had him read and approved of the manuscript. From Jones biography: “As requested, Mr. X gave the manuscript a careful reading, and his critique pleased Kinsey.” He later wrote to him, **“I very much appreciated your reading of the manuscript. It helps very much to have one with your experience going over the material...I wish our paths could cross more often. It would be most profitable for science.”** Note that he encourages this criminal, calling his actions “experience” and his crime scene descriptions “material” and “science.”
18. Dr. Jones, in his bio, corroborates what Judith Reisman had originally pointed out, that Kinsey illegally collaborated with pedophiles. Jones writes, “Science would have been better served had Kinsey not allowed his lust for data to obscure his judgment...the fact remains he (Mr X) was a predatory pedophile. Over the course of his long career as a child molester, he masturbated infants, penetrated children, and performed a variety of other sexual acts on preadolescent boys and girls alike. Betraying a huge moral blind spot, Kinsey took the record of Mr. X’s criminal acts and transformed them into scientific data. Indeed, prior to publication Kinsey expressed his disappointment over not being able to acknowledge publicly Mr. X’s contributions, telling him, ‘I wish I knew how to give credit to you in the forthcoming volume for your material. It seems a shame not even to name you.’” (pg 510-511).
19. Kinsey used Mr. X’s (Rex King) own words to describe orgasms of these abused children in detail, saying some of them, “will fight away from the partner and may make violent attempts to avoid climax, although they derive definite pleasure from the situation.” (pg 511). **Jones writes of the use of this material: “Children gasping for breath, sobbing, screaming in pain, fainting, and desperately struggling to fight off the assailants Kinsey dignified as**

‘partners’ – these were descriptions of hapless victims. Informants like Mr. X may have convinced Kinsey that their victims derived ‘definite pleasure from the situation,’ but how reliable was the testimony of pedophiles about the children they molested? Not very. As one Kinsey critic observed decades after his death, ‘Looking to sexual molesters for information on childhood sexuality is like drawing conclusions on the sexuality of adult females from the testimony of rapists.’ (pg 512 of Jones bio). Dr. Jones was quoting Judith Reisman here. We must remember that Paul Gebhard endorsed this book by Dr. Jones as being the ‘definitive biography’ of Kinsey, and said it was ‘meticulously accurate’ (endorsement on the back cover of the bio).

- 20. Of Kinsey views on pedophilia Jones writes, “By the mid- 1940s, Kinsey had come to believe that many sexual perversions, however repugnant to the public, were basically harmless in the sense that they did not pose a threat to public safety. He even questioned society’s condemnation of pedophilia. Kinsey understood that ‘the possibilities of coercion there are certainly great,’ recalled Gebhard. Yet Kinsey was prepared to believe, continued Gebhard, ‘that some child-adult contacts were not harmful and possible even beneficial.’ Elaborating, Gebhard explained, ‘Once in a while we’d run across an occasional incest thing or an occasional child-adult contact that seemed to work out favorably, and [Kinsey] would always tell us about this and let us know that pedophilia wasn’t as black as it was painted, that it could be, under proper circumstance, beneficial or something like that – which would be heresy nowadays. Well, it was heresy then!”**
21. Vincent Nowlis, another Kinsey co-worker is quoted by Jones in this regard. **“...Kinsey’s fascination with Mr. X transcended the thrill of discovery. It was as much personal as professional. Having spent so much of his life feeling guilty and constrained, he admired Mr. X for refusing to conform or be controlled. As Nowlis put it, Kinsey looked upon Mr. X as ‘a hero’ because ‘the guy had the courage and the ingenuity and the sexual energy and the curiosity to have this fantastic multi-year odyssey through the Southwest and never get caught.”**
22. Kinsey also collaborated and encouraged a Nazi pedophile names Franz von Ballusek. He was tried for the murder of a child he had abused in Germany, and in the trial it came out that Ballusek recorded his crimes for Kinsey. Judge Berger, who presided in the trial, was furious at Kinsey for collaborating and encouraging the criminal instead of turning him in. Gebhard substantiated this in an interview for the British documentary “Kinsey’s Paedophiles” in 1998. Jones is interviewed, as is Judith Reisman, Paul Gebhard, and Clarence Tripp. The documentary can be viewed on YouTube, and Dr. Judith Reisman provides excerpts from the documentary on this site.
http://www.drjudithreisman.com/archives/2015/10/secret_history.html
23. Note Dr. Reisman points out that Kinsey himself studied motility in the sperm of adolescent/preadolescent males. Dr. Reisman asks valid questions. How did he get the sperm samples? Who provided them? They would have to be fresh, obviously. With whom was he collaborating in Bloomington?
24. In the documentary *Secret History: Kinsey’s Pedophiles*, Jones was interviewed

and said, “Kinsey relied upon [King] for the chapter on childhood sexuality in the male volume... I think that he was in the presence of pathology at large and... Kinsey... elevated to, you know, the realm of scientific information... what should have been dismissed as unreliable, self serving data provided by a predatory pedophile... I don’t have any doubt in my own mind that man wreaked havoc in a lot of lives. Many of his victims were infants and Kinsey in that chapter himself gives pretty graphic descriptions of their response to what he calls sexual stimulation. If you read those words, what he’s talking about is kids who are screaming. Kids who are protesting in every way they can the fact that their bodies or their persons are being violated.”

25. In her book *Stolen Honor, Stolen Innocence*, Dr. Judith Reisman writes: Jonathan Gathorne-Hardy, a recent Kinsey biographer (*Sex the Measure of All Things; A Life of Alfred C. Kinsey*, London: Chatto & Winds, 1998), reveals that Kinsey Institute Director John Bancroft secretly allowed him to read and copy Kinsey’s pedophile team reports:

[Kinsey] was deeply affected by five paedophile headmasters who... had... loving relationships with young adolescent boys of twelve or thirteen.... The reason the Kinsey Institute is so careful...is that... they have... evidence of sexual behaviors that even now are illegal. They are nervous that sons or grandsons will sue them if they let this information out. So they had to be very, very careful that names are not revealed in that way.

Gathorne-Hardy perused Rex King’s records. He confirmed that King was indeed the individual whom Wardell Pomeroy said raped at least 800 infants, children, kin, and strangers:

Eight or nine typewritten volumes [were] typed up by Kinsey’s wife... prior to 1945, which was, you know, before Kinsey admitted [he had employed King. King] went on having sex with everybody until the end... long after Kinsey got the journals. The material in that chapter almost entirely came from [King’s] journals which Kinsey got in 1944/45. I daren’t put this on film. I did read [King’s records] but Bancroft doesn’t want me to say I read them. Bancroft says that if the people know I read them they will go to him and say, you’ve let one scholar have them, and I’m not going to do that. So what I had to say in my book is that I closely questioned Bancroft and Gebhard about the contents of the journals, but I didn’t read them. In fact, I did read them. But I can’t say I read them.”

26. From Reisman *Stolen Honor* pg 138: **“Paul Gebhard testified that their group recruited pedophiles and pederasts to collect child “orgasm” data wherever they could: [King] had sex with men, women, children and animals.... Nursery school people... parents...couldn’t give us the extraordinary detail that [King] did. It was illegal and we knew it was illegal and that’s why a lot of people are furious... they say we should have turned him in instantly... If we had turned him in it would have been the end of our research project.”**

28. From Reisman, *Stolen Honor* pg PREADOLESCENT

ORGASM” Table 32 details the “speed of preadolescent orgasm.”¹⁹ The table’s legend states: “Duration of stimulation before climax: observations timed with second hand or stopwatch. Ages range from five months of age to adolescence.” We read in *Ethical Issues in Sex Therapy, Volume II*, that Wardell Pomeroy confirmed the “observation” data on these boys and that the 1,888 boys from age five months to fifteen years were observed being “erotically stimulated” in order to record “speed of reaching orgasm” while “timed with a stop watch.”²⁰ In an audiotaped interview, Kinsey associate Paul Gebhard was asked who collected such illegal data? Gebhard: ...Most of it was done by one individual, a man with scientific training, and not a known scientist. The other cases were done by parents, at our suggestion, and, let’s see, then there were some that were done by nursery school personnel.

Interviewer: Was that at your suggestion too?

Gebhard: Yes... we would ask them to watch, and take notes, and if possible, time it and report back to us... Once we asked people about giving us their observations, we would ask them later too. if [the pedophiles] got in contact with us later we would ask them more about it. We follow up by re-interviewing people occasionally...

Interviewer: So, do pedophiles normally go around with stop watches?

Gebhard: Ah, they do if we tell them we’re interested in it... When we interview pedophiles, we would ask them, How many children have you had it with? What were their ages? Do you think they came to climax or not?... Are you sure it really was climax or not?

From Reisman *Stolen Honor* pg 143: As recorded in *Ethical Issues in Sex Therapy and Research, Volume 2*

Gebhard was asked about the ethics of coercing people of all ages to participate in the Kinsey research. He replied that the Kinsey team did indeed coerce people, and that he would have no qualms about doing it again. He asserted:

“Well, it is definitely coercion.... I think a certain amount of coercion is acceptable in the interest of encouraging research participation. I wouldn’t hesitate to use that tactic again—though I might not spell it out in my proposal to the committee on human subjects.”

Paul Gebhard, quoted in Reisman *Stolen Honor* pg 166, talking about Franz von Ballusek, the Nazi pedophile Kinsey corresponded with, encouraged, and protected. Note Gebhard calls him the ‘poor pedophile’:

“[Kinsey] wrote him questions in the letter and they carried on quite a correspondence.... Police [seeking a child sex murderer] went through his possessions... found his correspondence with Kinsey.... They got Interpol.... The FBI put pressure on Kinsey to reveal the guy’s sexual diary. Kinsey said, absolutely not. [T]he poor paedophile... had his reputation destroyed... finally quit corresponding with us.”

Reisman *Stolen Honor* pg 168-169. “During a seminar on *The Ethics of Sex Research* (Masters, Johnson & Kolodny, 1972), Gebhard told the assembled sexology “experts” that it was ethical to use Ballusek’s child data. None registered disagreement, nor did any protest when Gebhard revealed how the Kinsey team had covered up for the erstwhile Nazi. “We [were] amoral at best and criminal at worst.... An example of our criminality is our refusal to cooperate with authorities in apprehending a pedophile we had interviewed who was being sought for a [child] sex murder.”⁹⁵”

Reisman, *Stolen Honor*, pg 169, quoting John Bancroft MD, who was president of the Kinsey Institute in the 1990s: “[I]f you want to remain in ignorance then so be it... But for many of us, there is the belief that there is a need for better knowledge and... you can’t do that if you then turn round and report [child molesters] to the police. ⁹⁶ “...All this crap about Table 31 and 34!.... [Kinsey] opened up the subject... made it possible to talk about in a sensible way... He has de-mystified the subject of sexuality.... He stands...above the rest of researchers in the field.... He is a superb scholar... a fine mind... a pioneer. I have great respect for the man and for his integrity.” ⁹⁹

Reisman, *Stolen Honor*, pg 215

Which social scientists were “competent to set it forth.” Kinsey’s view was that in the vast majority of instances adult-child sex is harmless. Recall Pomeroy’s comment: “Kinsey pointed out that what the nation and the FBI were calling heinous crimes against children were things that appeared in a fair number of our total histories, and in only a small number of cases was public attention ever aroused or the police involved. Kinsey numbered himself among those who contended that, as far as so-called molestation of children was concerned, a great deal more damage was done to the child by adult hysteria.” ⁹⁵

Reisman, *Stolen Honor*, 225.:

“Kinsey and Gebhard, Gagnon and Pomeroy, writing in *Sex Offenders*, reveal their collective view of child sex abuse, although they know full well that female mammals copulate only during estrus, which is when they are mature: ‘The horror with which our society views the adult who has sexual contact with young children is lessened when one examines the behavior of other mammals. Sexual activity between adult and immature animals is common and appears to be biologically normal.’”
130

Reisman, *Stolen Honor*, 226 “The view of even child rape as a “victimless crime” coincides with Kinsey’s analysis of the harmlessness of all sexual acts. Recall in his *Female* report he says:

‘If a child were not culturally conditioned, it is doubtful if it would be disturbed by sexual approaches... It is difficult to understand why a child, except for its cultural conditioning, should be disturbed at having its genitalia touched, or disturbed at seeing the genitalia of other persons, or disturbed at even more specific sexual contacts .135’

Reisman, *Stolen Honor*, 226, quoting the *Female* report. “When children are constantly

warned by parents and teachers against contacts with adults, and when they receive no explanation of the exact nature of the forbidden contacts, they are ready to become hysterical as soon as any older person approaches, or stops and speaks to them in the street, or fondles them, or proposes to do something for them, even though the adult may have had no sexual objective in mind. Some of the more experienced students of juvenile problems have come to believe that the emotional reactions of the parents, police officers, and other adults who discover that the child has had such a contact, may disturb the child more seriously than the sexual contacts themselves. The current hysteria over sex offenders may very well have serious effects on the ability of many of these children to work out sexual adjustments some years later in their marriages.”¹³⁶

Kinsey was not a disinterested scientist, but his own sexuality was pathological by any standard.

1. He incorporated a unique technique in his masturbation when he was young, and this progressed as he aged. From Jones bio: “By late adolescence, his masochism was well advanced. He had progressed beyond straws and was inserting a brush hack up his penis, a practice he would continue for life, at times changing the instrument of self-torture, but never the point of attack.” (Jones bio pg 82)
2. “Kinsey’s object of choice, however, remained the item he had kept hidden under the floorboards in the attic of his boyhood home in South Orange. Mr Y described seeing Kinsey in the late 1940s and early 1950s insert ‘a toothbrush with the brish end first.’ **To facilitate entry, Mr Y noted ‘He [had] cut the urethra, too sort of wide open.’ How many years of physical conditioning and psychic distress it had taken to reverse the ends of the toothbrush can only be a matter for conjecture. Still, the fact that he was able to perform this feat offers an index of how far Kinsey’s masochism had advanced. ‘So after a while he had to use bigger objects, and he got into pipe cleaners and then larger pipe cleaners,’ the friend declared. ‘The he got up to hard of a toothbrush and then up to the brush end of the toothbrush in which he let the brush end stay in there and went like this [twirling his hands together in a back and forth motion] on the handle to twist it. This is the degree to which that thing grew.’” (pg 610 Jones bio).**
3. **“On one occasion, when his inner demons plunged him to new depths of despair, Kinsey climbed into a bathtub, unfolded the blade of his pocketknife, and circumcised himself without the benefit of anesthesia.”** (pg 610 Jones bio).
4. **Kinsey would have them film him masturbating. “Once the camera started rolling, the world’s foremost expert on human sexual behavior and a scientist who valued rationality above all other intellectual properties would insert an object into his urethra, tie a rope around his scrotum, and then simultaneously tug hard on the rope as he maneuvered the object**

deeper and deeper. During one filming session, Dellenback remembered Kinsey inserting a swizzle stick, the kind with a knob on the end, up his urethra.” (Jones pg 611).

Kinsey coerced everyone in his inner circle to participate in orgies and group sex to demonstrate their impartiality toward sex, including his married co-workers and his wife. These sessions were filmed, and are held by the Kinsey Institute today. Kinsey imposed extreme workplace sexual harassment in demanding that his coworkers and their wives have filmed sex with him and with each other. This also became a form of blackmail for Kinsey to keep them all in line.

1. “Following the publication of *Sexual Behavior in the Human Male*, Kinsey attempted to build a private world that would provide the emotional support he needed. Within the inner circle of his senior staff members and their spouses, he endeavored to create his own sexual utopia, a scientific subculture whose members would not be bound by arbitrary and antiquated sexual taboos. What he envisioned was in every sense a clandestine scientific experiment, if not a furtive attempt at social engineering: unfettered sex would be the order of the day. Although he excluded children categorically (again, there were no pedophiles at the Institute), Kinsey decreed that within the inner circle men could have sex with each other, wives would be swapped freely, and wives too, would be free to embrace whichever sexual partners they liked. Kinsey further ordained that a handful of trusted outsiders would be taken into their confidence, admitted to their scientific subculture, and given full membership privileges. Bringing in outsiders was absolutely essential for Kinsey to achieve sexual satisfaction, as no other member of the inner circle could fulfill his masochistic or homosexual desires, though Pomeroy was willing to playact to meet Kinsey’s needs.” (Jones bio 602-603).
2. “Since Kinsey was eager to film as much live sexual activity as possible, the camera started rolling almost immediately after Dellenback arrived in Bloomington, with staff members and their wives cast in starring roles. Everyone was sworn to secrecy. To further maximize security, most of the filming was done at Kinsey’s home in one of the finished bedroom in the attic, a private setting that could not be viewed from the street.” (pg 607 Jones bio).
3. “Not all staff members and their spouses agreed to be filmed. While Pomeroy and Martha, his wife, were not in the least bit camera shy, Martin and his wife, Alice, flatly refused to be filmed as a couple. (Although he could not remember her by name, Mr. Y recalled that one of the staff wives refused to have sex with Kinsey. Perhaps it was Alice). Martin, however, did agree to masturbate alone on camera. ‘I really wasn’t interested, the idea kind of offended me,’ Martin later confessed. ‘I recall the one occasion that I participated, as I remember, I had a hell of a time getting an erection. I was such a failure nobody ever asked me again,’ he added. Dellenback had a similar reaction. ‘The only time in indulged in any sort of thing was [when] Kinsey had some group sessions,’ recalled Dellenback. ‘[I] didn’t photograph them, but we were all around there undressed up in his bedroom there, and I

remember masturbating to climax by myself in front of the others because Kinsey wanted...I didn't enjoy it...' adding that the entire experience was 'against my sense of propriety, I think.' **Agnes, Gebhard's wife, had an even stronger reaction. Complaining of 'the sickening pressure' she was under to have sex on film with her spouse and other staff members, she told an interviewer, 'I felt like my husband's career at the Institute depended on it.'** (Jones bio pg 607).

4. Kinsey directed these sex sessions coercing his staff, and had to approve who had sex with who. "Yet members of his inner circle, while technically free to enjoy the fruits of sexual liberation, had to accept limits on their behavior. Anyone contemplating an extramarital affair had to clear it first with Kinsey. Gebhard remembered Kinsey's saying, 'You've got to tell me who it is and explain it all, and the I'll tell you whether you can or can't... Whether through necessity or design, his version of sexual utopia came with restrictions. True to character, he cast himself not only in the role of sexual liberator but in that of benevolent dictator. And while there was no gainsaying his devotion to sexual freedom, his need for dominance and control remained strong. The filming occurred because Kinsey willed it; as did the various kinds of sexual activity staged. **Viewed from this perspective, staff members and their wives were not so much participants in sexual liberation as pawns for Kinsey to manipulate and control.**" (Jones bio 608).
5. **Kinsey had no qualms about have sexual relations with those he interviewed.** "When Kinsey took his history, Mr. Y was awed by Kinsey's gift for putting people at ease...Some time later, during one of Kinsey's visits to the West Coast, where Mr. Y lived, the two men were visiting in Kinsey's hotel room when something extraordinary happened. 'I told him I had a fantasy of having sex with him, with no idea in mind except to report it,' Mr. Y later recalled, 'and he sort of said, 'Take off your clothes.' So I did and we started right there. So every time we met from then on, we had sexual contact.'" (Jones bio 604)
6. "Kinsey's deep-seated animosity to traditional morality led him to take a benign view of child molestation and incest." (Jones 620).
7. Reisman *Stolen Honor* pg 158: "According to Gathorne-Hardy, who believes that "As a scientist I thought Kinsey was marvelous, exemplary," the Institute fears that some of Kinsey's victims may yet come forward: [The Kinsey Institute] is nervous, people will read the journals and identify someone in them. [King] described having sex with this... little girl, this little boy or this man or this pig.... I think the Kinsey Institute felt... right wing figures... would pluck out things.... I think they are right to keep them undercover because they are not dealing with scrupulous scholars, they are dealing with people out to wreck them... there are descriptions of [King] bugging boys nigh on 13...[who] doesn't enjoy it. I mean it's quite sort of harsh stuff some of it."